Open Agenda



Healthy Communities Scrutiny Sub-Committee

MINUTES of the OPEN section of the Healthy Communities Scrutiny Sub-Committee held on Tuesday 22 November 2016 at 7.00 pm at Ground Floor Meeting Room G01A - 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

| PRESENT: | Councillor Rebecca Lury (Chair) Councillor David Noakes Councillor Anne Kirby Councillor Sunny Lambe Councillor Maria Linforth-Hall Councillor Martin Seaton |
|---------------------------|--|
| OTHER MEMBERS PRESENT: | Councillor Maisie Anderson , the Cabinet lead for Public health, Parks and Leisure Councillor Richard Livingstone, Cabinet Member for Adult Care and Financial Inclusion |
| OFFICER SUPPORT: | David Quirke-Thornton, Strategic Director, Children's & Adults Services Simon Rayner, Assistant Director, Adult Social Care (Mental Health and Learning Difficulties) Richard Adkin, Mental Health Review Co-ordinator, Children's and Adults' Services Jin Lim, Acting Public Health Director, <u>Wellbeing Hub</u> Andrew Farquhar <u>SLaM</u> Dr Matthew Patrick, South London & Maudsely Foundation Trust (SLaM), Chief Executive Kris Dominy, COO, SLaM Jo Kent, Service Director, SLaM Lucy Canning, Service Director for Psychosis CAG Godfried Attafua , Deputy Director, Promoting Recovery, Psychosis CAG <u>CCG</u> Dr Jonty Heaversedge, Clinical Chair, NHS Southwark CCG |

1. APOLOGIES

1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Bill Williams.

2. NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

2.1 There were no urgent items of business.

3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

- 3.1 Councillor David Noakes declared that he now sits on the Health and Wellbeing Board. He explained that the legal advice he has received advised that if any decisions by the board are being scrutinised by the committee then he would need to not participate in the deliberations and leave the room, however items that have just been discussed at the board would be fine to scrutinise at the committee.
- 3.2 Councillor Sunny Lambe declared his wife was employed by the NHS.

4. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on minutes of the meeting held on 26 July 2016 were agreed as an accurate record.

VIDEO - OPENING OF THE MEETING

https://bambuser.com/v/6539797

5. SOCIAL CARE REVIEW

The following attended the meeting to present and take questions on the Social Care Review:

Southwark Council

- Councillor Richard Livingstone, Cabinet Member for Adult Care and Financial Inclusion
- David Quirke-Thornton, Strategic Director, Children's & Adults Services
- Simon Rayner, Assistant Director, Adult Social Care (Mental Health and Learning Difficulties)
- Richard Adkin, Mental Health Review Co-ordinator, Children's and Adults' Services

Wellbeing Hub

• Andrew Farquhar

<u>SLaM</u>

- Dr Matthew Patrick, South London & Maudsely Foundation Trust (SLaM), Chief Executive
- Kris Dominy, COO, SLaM
- Jo Kent, Service Director, SLaM
- Lucy Canning, Service Director for Psychosis CAG
- Godfried Attafua , Deputy Director, Promoting Recovery, Psychosis CAG

<u>CCG</u>

• Dr Jonty Heaversedge, Clinical Chair, NHS Southwark CCG

The following points were made by SLaM in their presentation and by members in the subsequent discussion:

- The process had been difficult; a bumpy road.
- The ending of the previous integration of health and social care was not supported by SLaM. The evidence is that integrated teams give better outcomes.
- SLaM would have preferred to have been informed of the intention to 'disintegrate' earlier.
- 22 social workers have left SlaM as a result of the change process. Some backfilling to been done by SlaM using CCG funding for 6 months. This will be reviewed going forward.
- 95% of issues are sorted out, but there is still a small risk around safeguarding. One concern is social care assessment if not set up in a timely fashion; however forums are there to address this between SIaM and Southwark social care.
- SlaM said they will do their best to support the new model despite differences.
- The lessons learnt is working together early and closer is better. Working closely from the outset would have allowed better sharing of concerns.
- A member raised a concern about two early discharges of people with mental health issues, resulting in with two deaths. The SLaM CEO responded that he couldn't talk about the cases as he was not aware of the details , however there are mistakes made on occasions ; there is no such thing as a perfect service . He remains of view of that an integrated service is better.
- The committee suggested coming back in a time period to look at implementation and pick up any concerns

Elizabeth Rylance-Watson, read out a statement on behalf of Tom White, spokesperson SPAG:

Dear Rebecca, understanding social care and mental health as on the agenda is 3

mindboggling! Only the professionals will understand this. In simple terms, in my past business world, when you have a problem you cannot solve, you go back to basics! The A and E at Kings is simply not coping with what they need to be doing, let alone having to take on the burden of also coping with the mentally ill. We are aware that Kings are hoping to provide better services soon, but will not be the ultimate solution, as they just do not have the space! I find it so frustrating that the Maudsley, who have so much space, yet the experts on mental health do not seem to want to do hands on! It would cost nothing to reopen the Maudsley site, as it is only being used for the Psychiatrists to have meetings. I have discussed with Slam the problem of most of the wards at Kings having to cope with the sick and also the mentally ill. I disagree with them that they do not think this is a problem! I believe this is unfair on the recovering sick, unfair on the mentally ill, and unfair on the nursing staff. I must stress that the CQC have stated that a busy A and E is not the right environment for the mentally ill. I look forward to the response by SLAM!

Elizabeth raised the importance of 'parity of esteem' between people needing emergency treatment, whether coming to A & E under a 'blue light' needing urgent treatment for physical health, or in a mental health crisis.

The subsequent discussion referred to the allocation of 6.5million by Parliament in 2006 to King's College Hospital to compensate for the closure of Maudsley emergency clinic, for people in mental health crisis, and in order to provide for better facilities in King's A & E. King's will be invited to a following meeting.

The following points were raised by Southwark Council and by members in the subsequent discussion:

- Other councils have chosen to move towards the model Southwark is adopting and it has worked well: Newham have been rated at excellent, Merton also followed a similar model. There are different approaches, given the new flexibility allowed by government
- Southwark are now seeking to "resurrect" social work. The council perspective is focused on residents and community, rather than hospitals, though we do recognise that there is a need to link to hospital and acute care.
- The Strategic Director referred to the tabled document listing meetings with SlaM and partners.
- In the reference to the letter by SIaM he said delayed discharge was an area that Southwark did well on, and that he did not agree with the reference to Mid Staffordshire Hospital. Delayed transfer will probably get worse for detox as the chronic underfunding of health services, but not because of this change.
- A member refereed to the Frances report reference regarding Mid Staffordshire in the SlaM letter, and noted it was specific to staff moral. He asked about this and also other concerns on shared information. Going forward there will be two entry points. The social care one will focus on outcome. Officers said staff moral is good, and that many social workers are very excited about change and looking forward to being able to focus on social and community work. Officers said that there are always questions about change, however there is a good atmosphere; staff do want to focus more on the wider determinates of health.

- Social work outcomes are poor and the council want to chart the direction of change to improve those. He assured members that it is safe to go ahead with changes on 28th November. The council are prepared meet SLaM regularly, weekly if needed, until SLaM and the council are assured that this is a safe transition.
- A Member asked for the reason for such a strong letter and the Strategic Director referred to underlying philosophical differences and that it feels wrong to 'disintegrate'. However there are lots of difference ways to integrate and the council do need to listen to service users to.
- The Care Acts emphasis is now much more on community care and increased moves to giving more personalised and agency. This is not total disintegration as there will still be co-location in many places, and the council did not consider that services based in Maudsley as right going forward as they were too institutionalised.
- The Wellbeing Hub will offer information, advice and support. It will be open to anybody, and able to also offer more support if needed. There is also peer support, group work, and a partnership with voluntary and statutory teams. A visit was recommended to the committee.
- A member noted the last Good CQC report on the service.
- A member remarked to council officers that he would not critique the changes as your views are well founded, however preparing for change is very important. He asked if the Strategic Director and SLaM CEO could produce a joint letter and if they had met recently, and was given assurances that despite busy diaries this would be happening to take matters forward.

RESOLVED

It was agreed that the following recommendations will be put to both SLaM and Adult Social Care:

• A published implementation plan, detailing the future phases of the changes to mental health social care, with clear timelines and further information about the actions being undertaken in each phase

• An agreed meetings programme between SLaM and Southwark Council, both at a high level and an operational level

• A reassurance of the willingness to work closely together to deliver the best outcomes for Southwark residents in changing the approach to delivering mental health social care

• An agreement to attend the April 2017 Healthy Communities Scrutiny Sub-Committee meeting to provide an update on the mental health social care review implementation. The Committee will also invite union representatives, service users and Southwark Healthwatch to attend

VIDEO OF SOCIAL CARE REVIEW

https://bambuser.com/v/6539826

https://bambuser.com/v/6539849

https://bambuser.com/v/6539854

https://bambuser.com/v/6539859

https://bambuser.com/v/6539868

https://bambuser.com/v/6539870

https://bambuser.com/v/6539876

6. PUBLIC HEALTH PRIORITIES

Jin Lim, Acting Public Health Director, and Cllr Maisie Anderson, the Cabinet lead for Public health, Parks and Leisure, presented the report and addressed the questions.

The following issues were raised :

- The impact of Free Healthy School meal on obesity. The director said the statistical evidence is not significant, however surveys with identified it was helpful for some parents, and Free School Meal needs to be seen within a whole systems approach. Access to parks and growing food were mentioned in this regard.
- Targeting and extending health checks.
- How take-up of Free& Gym and Swim programme is being targeted at those most in need and monitored.
- The link between socio- economic deprivation with mental health was discussed. Council work on addressing the wider determinates of health e.g physically health, housing and employment were cited, as well as stop smoke activities, which are particularly targeted at certain groups, including low income.
- A member raised concerns about the lack of mental health being indicated as a priority and specifically the high rates of suicide in Southwark and links to the mental health strategy. Jin Lim said Mental Health is a priority (but was accidently left off the report) and although suicide rates have generally been falling there has been a small recent increase and they are in discussions with Samaritans and National Rail as there has been a slight rise. The Mental Health Strategy is due to come to both scrutiny and cabinet in the early next year.
- There was a query about prostate cancer awareness raising and the Jin Lim said

that was a campaign in previous years . Men from ethnic minority communities are more at risk, and of later diagnosis . A member said that he has spoken to church leaders and they are willing to engage in promoting awareness .

- The importance of dementia testing was highlighted.
- Concerns were raised about the availability of hydro-pool sessions.
- Privacy concerning the sign-up process for the Free Gym & Swim was raised.
- Monitoring of Latin American community was discussed . Southwark Council have agreed to monitor this category locally however data provided by ONS does not do this , and there are concerns with the high level of 'other'.

RESOLVED

More information will be provided by Public Health on :

- Prostrate cancer awareness
- Dementia test availability for over 50

The following will be picked up on during the cabinet member interview :

- hydro- pool availability
- privacy issues around health questionnaire used during Free Swim & Gym

VIDEO OF PUBLIC HEALTH PRIORITIES

https://bambuser.com/v/6539798

https://bambuser.com/v/6539817

7. SEXUAL HEALTH REVIEW REPORT AND UPDATE ON CONSULTATION

This was noted.

VIDEO - SEXUAL HEALTH REVIEW REPORT AND UPDATE ON CONSULTATION & MATERNAL DEATHS AT KCH - BRIEFING

https://bambuser.com/v/6539900

8. MATERNAL DEATHS AT KCH - BRIEFING

This was noted.

9. WORKPLAN

An extra meeting will be added, in order to look scrutinise King's College Hospital, particularly their finances, provision for people in mental health crisis, A & E and maternity performance.

The next meeting in January will receive a report on the draft Joint Mental Health Strategy.